- (3) In the case of Marine Corps units, 15 institutions.
- (4) In the case of Air Force units, 10 institutions.

SA 4309. Mr. SCHUMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2766, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2007 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title I, add the following:

#### SEC. 105. AMOUNT FOR PROCUREMENT OF HE-MOSTATIC AGENTS FOR USE IN THE FIELD.

- (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that every member of the Armed Forces should carry life saving resources on them, including hemostatic agents.
- (b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the amount authorized under section 104 for Defense-wide procurement, \$20,000,000 may be made available for the procurement of a sufficient quantity of hemostatic agents, including blood-clotting bandages, for use by members of the Armed Forces in the field so that each soldier serving in Iraq and Afghanistan is issued at least one hemostatic agent and accompanying medical personnel have a sufficient inventory of hemostatic agents.
- (c) REPORT.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the distribution of hemostatic agents to members of the Armed Forces serving in Iraq and Afghanistan, including a description of any distribution problems and attempts to resolve such problems.

### PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that MAJ Shannon Sentell, an Army congressional fellow serving in my office, be granted the privileges of the floor for the remainder of the debate on S. 2766.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## $\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{SENATE} \ \ \mathtt{LEGAL} \ \ \mathtt{COUNSEL} \\ \mathtt{AUTHORIZATION} \end{array}$

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 514, which was submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A resolution (S. Res. 514) to authorize testimony and legal representation in City of Eugene v. Peter Vincent Chabarek.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, this resolution concerns a request for testimony and representation in a criminal trespass action in Municipal Court in Eugene, OR. In this action, an antiwar protestor has been charged with crimi-

nally trespassing on the building housing Senator RON WYDEN'S Eugene, OR, office on March 20, 2006, for refusing repeated requests by building management to leave the premises. A trial on the charge of trespass is scheduled to commence on June 20, 2006. The defendant has subpoenaed a member of the Senator's staff who had conversations with the defendant and other protestors before and during the charged events. The enclosed resolution would authorize that staff member to testify in connection with this action, with representation by the Senate legal counsel.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 514) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

#### S. RES. 514

Whereas, in the case of City of Eugene v. Peter Vincent Chabarek, Citation No. 06–05546, pending in Municipal Court for the City of Eugene, testimony has been requested from Juine Chada, an employee in the office of Senator Ron Wyden;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent an employee of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for testimony relating to their official responsibilities:

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate;

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistent with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Juine Chada is authorized to testify in the case of City of Eugene v. Peter Vincent Chabarek, except concerning matters for which a privilege should be asserted.

Sec. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Juine Chada in connection with the testimony authorized in section one of this resolution.

# MEASURE READ THE FIRST TIME—S. 3534

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I understand there is a bill at the desk, and I ask for its first reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the title of the bill for the first time.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 3534) to amend the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 to provide for a YouthBuild Program.

Mr. FRIST. I now ask for its second reading, and in order to place the bill

on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to my own request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard. The bill will be read for the second time on the next legislative day.

#### RECORD TO REMAIN OPEN UNTIL 2 P.M. TODAY

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the RECORD remain open until 2 p.m. today for submission of statements only.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## ORDERS FOR MONDAY, JUNE 19, 2006

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand in adjournment until 2 p.m. on Monday, June 19. I further ask that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved, and the Senate resume consideration of S. 2766, the Defense authorization bill; further, that the previous order for executive session be changed so that at 4 p.m. on Monday, the Senate will proceed to executive session to consider the nomination of Sandra Ikuta: I ask unanimous consent that the time be equally divided as provided earlier, with the vote now occurring at 5 p.m., and that the remaining provisions of the order stay in effect.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PROGRAM

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, for the information of all Senators, the first vote of the week will occur on Monday afternoon at 5:00. The vote is on a U.S. circuit judge nomination previously scheduled for 5:30. We have moved that up 30 minutes to 5 o'clock. We will extend the length of that vote slightly to allow for Members' arrival based on the previously ordered time.

Next week, we will continue to work through the amendments to the Defense authorization bill. Votes will be scheduled each day.

#### ADJOURNMENT UNTIL MONDAY, JUNE 19, 2006, AT 2 p.m.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 1:47 p.m., adjourned until Monday, June 19, 2006, at 2 p.m.

### NOMINATIONS

Executive Nominations Received by the Senate June 16, 2006: